# **2025 West Marin Water Rate Study** Board Presentation – Draft Recommendation

HILDEBRAND

CONSULTING

March 18, 2025

# Agenda

- 1. Rate studies overview & scope
- 2. Review financial plan
- 3. Rate design & structure topics
- 4. Project schedule

# **Rate Study Objective**

• Establish a secure, multi-year financial plan that supports operating costs and capital spending necessary to provide water services that are reliable, high-quality, environmentally responsible and reasonably priced.

• Ensure that the rate structure complies with the (evolving) requirements of Proposition 218.

# **The Rate Setting Process**



Compares the revenues of the utility to its expenses to determine the overall level of rate adjustment

Equitably allocates costs by customer classes (business, low water user, high water user, etc.) in proportion to the costs each class of customers places on the system to meet their needs

Design rates for each class of service to meet the revenue needs of the utility, along with any other rate design goals and objectives

# **Financial Plan**

# West Marin Enterprise Cash Reserves

Fiscal year beginning July 1, 2024

Undesignated Cash	\$101,000
Liability Contigency Fund	\$99,000
Operating Reserve Fund	\$292,000

Total Unrestricted: \$492,000

# West Marin Enterprise Revenue FY2024/25 Budget



#### West Marin Enterprise Operating Expenses & Debt Service FY2024/25 Budget

Total Budget:	\$1,109,000
Debt Service	\$187,000
General Administration	\$290,000
Water Conservation	\$12,000
Consumer Accounting	\$27,000
Transmission & Distribution	\$131,000
Water Treatment	\$290,000
Other Operations	\$69,000
Pumping	\$81,000
Source of Supply	\$22,000



# **Cost Escalation Assumptions**

- Utilities, chemicals, supplies 5% per year
- All other costs 3% per year

# Rate increase drivers:

#### Significant increase in capital spending

- In the near-term, costs are driven by two bridge projects (required by CalTrans/County) and Gallagher Well No.3 (needed for water supply)
- Also notable is a major water treatment plant (WTP) rehabilitation
- The majority of the system is reaching the end of design life
- See Slides 12 and 13

#### Inflation

- Operating cost in FY2025 are budgeted at \$922 vs. \$664 thousand forecasted by 2021 Financial Plan (an increase of \$258 thousand, or 39%)
  - Primarily from treatment, electric, and labor costs

#### Revenue

• Reduction in property tax revenue (about \$60 thousand per year)

#### Reserves

The following are the reserve categories that are consistent with the reserve policies for the Novato service area.

These reserves should always \*plan\* to be fully funded:

Minimum Reserves:

**Operating Reserve:** 4 months of O&M budget (\$307 thousand) **Liability Contingency Reserve** (currently \$99K)

This reserve is designed to occasionally be drawn down:

**Target Reserves:** 

Maintenance Accrual Fund: Equal to the average long-term annual capital spending (approx. \$1.86 million depending on scenario).

# West Marin Enterprise Capital Spending



Average Historical (7 years): \$552 thousand Projected Average (Full List): \$2.9 million

# West Marin Capital Spending - Full List: \$27.3 million

											Baseline A	ssumption
	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	FY 2031	FY 2032	FY 2033	FY 2034	FY 2035	Grant	Debt
Pipelines												
1 Lagunitas Creek Bridge Pipe Replacement (Caltrans)	\$250,000	\$500,000	\$500,000									100%
2 Olema Creek Bridge Pipe Replacement (County)		\$250,000	\$500,000	\$500,000								100%
3 Replace Backbone Distribution Pipeline			\$750,000					\$750,000			50%	
4 Replace Polybutylene Service Lines (10 services)	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000							
5 Replace Thin Wall Plastic Pipe (5,300 lf) (2" -> 6")					\$400,000	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$400,000			
6 Replace Galvanized Steel Pipe (2,600 lf) (2" -> 6")					\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000				
Tanks and Pump Stations												
7 Olema Pump Station Flood Protection				\$150,000	\$150,000	\$1,000,000						
8 Olema Tank Communication Improvements			\$25,000	\$25,000	\$50,000							
9 PRE Tank No. 1 (Redwood) Replacement (upsize to 50,000 gal)	\$50,000	\$700,000									75%	
10 PRE Tank No. 2 (Redwood) Replacement (upsize to 50,000 gal)						\$50,000	\$700,000				75%	
11 Pt Reyes Tank No. 1 Rehabilitation									\$100,000	\$350,000		
12 Pt Reyes Tank No. 2 Replacement (upsize to 100,000 gal)						\$50,000	\$150,000	\$1,300,000				
13 Pt Reyes Tank No. 3 Rehabilitation									\$100,000	\$650,000		
14 Bear Valley Tanks Access Improvements (gate, road, comm. line)	\$50,000	\$75,000										
15 Bear Valley Pump Station Rehabilitation	\$50,000	\$50,000										
16 Inverness Park Pump Station Rehabilitation	\$50,000	\$100,000										
17 Inverness Park Tanks (x2) Rehabilitation								\$100,000	\$350,000			
18 Gallagher Well No. 3 (replace No. 1)	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$200,000	\$500,000	\$500,000							
19 Water Supply Redundancy (new well location)		\$25,000	\$50,000	\$275,000	\$850,000	\$550,000					75%	
20 Relocate Chemical Storage	\$100,000											
21 Treatment Plant Interim Rehabilitation		\$100,000	\$150,000								50%	50%
22 Treatment Plant Full Scale Rehabilitation			\$250,000	\$250,000	\$500,000	\$5,000,000	\$2,500,000				50%	50%
23 Raw Water Line Modifications (3,000 lf)				\$250,000	\$500,000	\$750,000						
Contingency												
24 Replacement in Sync w/ County Paving	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000		
25 Pump & Motor Replacement (locations TBD)	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000							
26 Abandon Downey Well				\$150,000								
27 Master Plan Update												
28 Hydraulic Model Development			\$25,000									
29 Electronic Facility Maps		\$25,000										
Capital Spending Totals:	\$770,000	\$2,045,000	\$2,520,000	\$2,170,000	\$3,170,000	\$7,975,000	\$3,925,000	\$2,725,000	\$975,000	\$1,025,000	\$7,562,500	\$6,875,000

#### Capital Spending Scenario 1:

- 2 Bridges (FY26 and FY27), Gallagher Well #3 (FY26), Treatment Plant rehab (FY29)
  - All debt financed
  - Grant funding assumed for the treatment plant (50%)
- All other projects deferred until FY30 then spend at a rate of \$700 thousand per year

#### **Capital Spending Scenario 1:**



	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	FY 2031	FY 2032	FY 2033	FY 2034	FY 2035	Grant	Debt
Pipelines												
Lagunitas Creek Bridge Pipe Replacement (Caltrans)	\$250,000	\$500,000	\$500,000								0%	100%
Olema Creek Bridge Pipe Replacement (County)		\$250,000	\$500,000	\$500,000							0%	100%
Gallagher Well No. 3 (replace No. 1)	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$200,000	\$500,000	\$500,000						0%	100%
Treatment Plant Full Scale Rehabilitation			\$250,000	\$250,000	\$500,000	\$5,000,000	\$2,500,000				50%	50%
Cash Funded R&R					\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	0%	0%
Capital Spending Totals:	\$400,000	\$900,000	\$1,450,000	\$1,250,000	\$1,700,000	\$5,700,000	\$3,200,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$4,250,000	\$8,250,000
									Total:	\$16,700,000		
Capital Spending After Inflation:	\$400,000	\$927,000	\$1,538,305	\$1,365,909	\$1,913,365	\$6,607,862	\$3,820,967	\$860,912	\$886,739	\$913,341		
									Total:	\$19,234,400		15

#### West Marin Enterprise Financial Forecast Scenario 1



Two Debt Issues: \$4.0M & \$5.2M

#### Capital Spending Scenario 2:

- 2 Bridges (FY26 and FY27), Gallagher Well #3 (FY26)
  - Debt funded
- Treatment Plant Rehab (FY29) deferred until 2040
  - Cash funded
  - Grant funding assumed (50%)
- All other projects deferred until FY30 then spend at a rate of \$700 thousand per year (plus \$500K in near-term R&R for the WTP)

#### **Capital Spending Scenario 2:**



	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	FY 2031	FY 2032	FY 2033	FY 2034	FY 2035	Grant		Debt
Pipelines													
Lagunitas Creek Bridge Pipe Replacement (Caltrans)	\$250,000	\$500,000	\$500,000								0%		100%
Olema Creek Bridge Pipe Replacement (County)		\$250,000	\$500,000	\$500,000							0%		100%
Gallagher Well No. 3 (replace No. 1)	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$200,000	\$500,000	\$500,000						0%		100%
Treatment Plant Full Scale Rehabilitation											50%		0%
Cash Funded R&R		\$200,000	\$200,000	\$100,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	0%		0%
Capital Spending Totals:	\$400,000	\$1,100,000	\$1,400,000	\$1,100,000	\$1,200,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000		\$0	\$4,000,000
									Total:	\$8,700,000			
Capital Spending After Inflation:	\$400,000	\$1,133,000	\$1,485,260	\$1,202,000	\$1,350,611	\$811,492	\$835,837	\$860,912	\$886,739	\$913,341			
									Total:	\$9,879,191		1	18

#### West Marin Enterprise Financial Forecast Scenario 2



Two Debt Issues: \$2.6M & \$1.6M

# Cost of Service Study and Rate Structure Design

# **Existing Rates**

- Fixed Service Charge
  - ✓ By Meter size
- "Quantity" Charge:
  - $\checkmark$  3 Tiers for Residential
  - ✓ Seasonal rates for Commercial (Non-Residential)
  - ✓ Hydraulic Zone Charge

# **Current Basis for Tiered Water Rates**



### **Proposed Basis for Tiered Water Rates\***



\* Seasonal rates for commercial accounts are proposed to be replaced with uniform rates

# Fixed vs. Variable Revenue

Approximately 11.2% of operating costs are variable Approximately 5.7% of all costs are variable

Vs.

Currently 73.0% of rate revenue is variable

We propose to incrementally increase the proportion of revenue that is fixed (by about 2%). This needs to be done slowly in order to minimize the impact on rate payers.

# Changes in water usage is impactful



Basis for 2021 Rate Study: 69 million gallons Most recent water usage: 55 million gallons ... a reduction of 20%

#### Volume of Water Sold by Zone (TGALs)

# Hydraulic Zone Charge



#### Proposed Hydraulic Zone Charge based on:

- Detailed actual electricity usage by zone
- Depreciation expense associated with associated pump and storage assets <sup>1</sup>

(doesn't include a "Zone 1" charge because all water originates in Zone 1)

	Replacement			Prop	osed Hydraulic Zone	Current	
	Charge	E	lectricity Charge		Charge	Charge (per	
	(\$/TGAL)		(\$/TGAL)		(\$/TGAL)	TGAL)	Change
Zone 3 <sup>2</sup> (Olema):	\$0.68	+	\$0.31	Ξ	\$0.99	\$1.32	-25%
Zone 2 (others <sup>3</sup> ):	\$1.88	+	\$0.95	=	\$2.83	\$2.61	8%
Zone 4 <sup>4</sup> (Upper PRE):	\$4.57	+	\$1.61	=	\$9.01	\$7.34	23%

<sup>1</sup> Assumes a 25 year expected useful life for Pump Station infrastructure and 50-year expected useful life for storage infrastructure (tanks).

- <sup>2</sup> The historical naming convention for the zone is not consistent with the actual elevation
- <sup>3</sup> Includes Inverness Park, Bear Valley, and Lower Paradise Ranch Estates
- <sup>4</sup> Zone 4 water is first pumped through the Zone 2 pump station, therefore the hydraulic charge includes the Zone 2 charge.

# Proposed Rates (Year 1)

	VARIABL	E QUANTITY CHARG	E (per	TGAL)									
		PROPOSED RATES	, u	C	URRENT RAT	ES				PROPOSE	D CHANGE		<u>.</u>
COMMERCIAL	<u>Uniform</u>			<u>Winter</u>	<u>Summer</u>			Win	ter	Sum	<u>imer</u>		
Base Rate	\$14.49			\$10.57	\$21.83			\$3.92	37.1%	-\$7.34	-33.6%		
Zone 3	\$15.48			\$11.89	\$23.15			\$3.59	30.2%	-\$7.67	-33.1%	l	
Zone 2	\$17.32			\$13.18	\$24.44			\$4.14	31.4%	-\$7.12	-29.1%	1	
Zone 4	\$23.50			\$17.91	\$29.17			\$5.59	31.2%	-\$5.67	-19.4%		
		Tier			Tier							l	
RESIDENTIAL	1	2		1	2	3		<u>Tie</u>	<u>r 1</u>	<u>Tie</u>	er 2	<u>Tier</u>	<u>3</u>
Base Rate	\$13.70	\$17.76		\$10.57	\$15.37	\$21.83		\$3.13	29.6%	\$2.39	11.0%	-\$4.07	-18.6%
Zone 3	\$14.69	\$18.75		\$11.89	\$16.69	\$23.15		\$2.80	23.5%	\$2.06	8.9%	-\$4.40	-19.0%
Zone 2	\$16.53	\$20.59		\$13.18	\$17.98	\$24.44		\$3.35	25.4%	\$2.61	10.7%	-\$3.85	-15.8%
Zone 4	\$22.71	\$26.77		\$17.91	\$22.71	\$29.17		\$4.80	26.8%	\$4.06	13.9%	-\$2.40	-8.2%
Outside Surcharge*		\$4.85			\$4.85		]						
	<b>FIXED SE</b>	RVICE CHARGE (bim	onthly	()									
					CHA	ANGE							
METER SIZE	PROPOSED	CURRENT			(dollars)	(percent)	-						
5/8"	\$59.60	\$50	73		\$8.87	17.5%							
1" Fire**	\$59.60	\$50	73		\$8.87	17.5%							
1"	\$144.55	\$124	80		\$19.75	15.8%							
1 1/2"	\$286.12	\$248	29		\$37.83	15.2%							
2"	\$456.01	\$396	46		\$59.55	15.0%							
3"	\$909.05	\$791	60		\$117.45	14.8%							
4"	\$1,418.72	\$1,236	12		\$182.60	14.8%							

\* No change proposed

\*\* Upsized due to fire code requirements

# **Residential Bill Impacts**

Single

Family

Meter	<b>Bimonthly Wate</b>	r Usage	Current	Proposed		
Size			Bill	Bill	Change	
	Low	4.0	\$93.01	\$114.40	23.0%	
5/8"	Median	5.2	\$105.69	\$130.84	23.8%	$24 \ \Gamma_0/$ of all accounts
(Base zone)	Average	8.0	\$135.29	\$169.20	25.1%	
	High	30.0	\$439.83	\$531.53	20.8%	_
	Low	4.0	\$103.45	\$125.72	21.5%	~
5/8"	Median	5.2	\$119.27	\$145.56	22.0%	20.9% of all accounts
(Zone 2)	Average	8.0	\$156.17	\$191.84	22.8%	
	High	30.0	\$590.13	\$677.30	14.8%	
	Low	4.0	\$122.37	\$150.44	22.9%	
5/8"	Median	5.2	\$143.86	\$177.69	23.5%	7.9% of all accounts
(Zone 4)	Average	8.0	\$194.01	\$241.28	24.4%	
	High	30.0	\$588.03	\$801.80	36.4%	
	Low	4.0	\$93.01	\$114.40	23.0%	
1" (fire)	Median	5.2	\$105.69	\$130.84	23.8%	7.5% of all accounts
(Base Zone)	Average	8.0	\$135.29	\$169.20	25.1%	
	High	30.0	\$439.83	\$531.53	20.8%	

	Meter	Typical Water	Bi-m	<b>Bi-monthly</b>			
		Usage	Current	Proposed			
	Size	(TGAL)	Bill	Bill	Change		
Multi- Family	Duplex (5/8")	10.0	\$156	\$197	25.7%		
	4 Units (1.5")	25.0	\$513	\$629	22.6%		
	25 Units (1.5")	180.0	\$2,151	\$2,752	28.0%		

# **Commercial Bill Impacts**

	Average Monthly	Average Monthly							
Meter	Summer Usage	Winter Usage	<u>Sı</u>	ummer Month	1	<u>Win</u>	Percent of		
Size	(TGAL)	(TGAL)	Current	Proposed	Change	Current	Proposed	Change	Accounts
	3 (low)	2 (low)	\$91	\$73	-19.4%	\$47	\$59	26.4%	
5/8"	6 (average)	5 (average)	\$156	\$117	-25.3%	\$78	\$102	30.7%	7.0%
	18 (high)	16 (high)	\$418	\$291	-30.5%	\$194	\$262	34.5%	
	25 (low)	25 (low)	\$608	\$435	-28.6%	\$327	\$435	33.0%	
1"	40 (average)	40 (average)	\$936	\$652	-30.3%	\$485	\$652	34.4%	2.2%
	84 (high)	83 (high)	\$1,896	\$1,289	-32.0%	\$940	\$1,275	35.7%	
1.5"	7	4	\$277	\$244	-11.7%	\$166	\$201	20.8%	0.5%
2"	181	82	\$4,149	\$2,851	-31.3%	\$1,065	\$1,416	33.0%	0.26%
3"	362	105	\$8,298	\$5,700	-31.3%	\$1,506	\$1,976	31.2%	0.13%
4" (Zone 3)	94	69	\$2,794	\$2,164	-22.5%	\$1,438	\$1,777	23.6%	0.13%

<sup>1</sup>Seasonal rates are propsed to be eliminated but a comparison to current rates requires a comparison to the existing seasonal rates.

#### Survey – Single Family Homes

Monthly Bill for typical water usage (2,930 gallons per month or 96 gallons per day)



## Schedule

- ✓ Water Management Ad-Hoc Committee Meeting #1
- ✓ Water Management Ad-Hoc Committee Meeting #2
- ✓ Board Meeting Draft Recommendation Presentation
- □ Board Meeting Final Recommendation Presentation
- Mail Notification
- □ Public Hearing to enact new water rates
- □ Implement New Water Rates

Jan 14<sup>th</sup> Feb 12<sup>th</sup> March 18<sup>th</sup> April 15<sup>th</sup> May 2<sup>nd</sup> June 17<sup>th</sup>